

REMARKS

As part of this RCE, Applicant has canceled claims 1-15 and added new claims 16-34. Applicant respectfully submits that these amendments to the claims overcome the objection to the claims and the specification and the rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112. In addition, Applicant respectfully submits that for the reasons set forth below, the new claims 16-34 will not be properly rejectionable based upon the art of record.

In particular, Applicant respectfully submits that in the present invention, the transfer trigger conditions specified by the event information are written in electronic mail and the event information (to be occurred in future after writing trigger condition) is monitored by the server apparatus equipped on a network. When the condition is met, the corresponding electronic mail is transferred to the transfer address which is set in advance in the electronic mail.

In the present invention, event information is defined by the occurrence and change of special operation of electronic apparatus connected to the network (A type event information as recited in claim 16, 18, 24, 26, 29, 31 and 33) or arrival at specified place of mobile electronic apparatus whose positional information can be obtained via the network (B type event information as recited in claim 17, 19, 25, 27, 30 and 32).

However, the references cited do not disclose and suggest such trigger condition including such event information.

In view of our careful review, Moon discloses a mail forwarding system operated by PC, however it only discloses that the received electronic mail which meet the selection condition set in advance is transferred by adding the transfer address. The transfer address and the selection condition are set by a user with his PC and the transfer is not achieved without such setting. Moon does not disclose or suggest that the transfer trigger condition and the address to be transferred are written in electronic mail.

Also in view of our careful review, Quine discloses a disfavored address is searched by a mail server by transferring it to the mail server and the revised new address is found out to be transferred again. The Examiner thinks the disfavored address includes the transfer trigger condition, however, it does not include the above-mentioned event information monitored on the network, so that it is different from the transfer trigger condition defined in the present invention. Such disfavored address is different from the transfer trigger condition of the present invention.

in fact that the address to be transferred (new address) is not written.

On the other hand, Lazaridis discloses a host system in which the trigger event occurrence defined by user is detected and the mail information is pushed into the user's mobile terminal. The trigger event information is not written in an electronic mail, but is set by a user in a host system.

Further although Leonard may disclose a mail system in which a sender can set the expiration date in the message, the expiration date is different from the above-mentioned transfer trigger conditions of the present invention which cause mail transfer.

According to the applicant's careful review, any one of references cited does not disclose that both of the transfer trigger conditions and the address to be transferred are written in an electronic mail and the mail is sent to the transfer server.

According to the present invention, both of the transfer trigger conditions and the address to be transferred are written in an electronic mail, so that the transfer trigger conditions and the address to be transferred are not required to be separately set for the transfer server.

Therefore, Quine discloses an idea entirely different from the present invention which discloses occurrence of specified operation of electronic apparatus connected with a network and arrival of mobile electronic apparatus to a specified place.


Further, the trigger event disclosed by Lazaridis and the expiration date disclosed by Leonard are different from the transfer trigger condition, so that they do not become a motivation of the present invention.

In view of the above, therefore, it is respectfully requested that this Amendment be entered as part of this RCE, favorably considered, and the case passed to issue.

Please charge any additional costs incurred by or in order to implement this Amendment or required by any requests for extensions of time to KODA & ANDROLIA DEPOSIT ACCOUNT NO. 11-1445.

Respectfully submitted,

KODA & ANDROLIA

By: 

William L. Androlia  
Reg. No. 27,177

2029 Century Park East  
Suite 1140  
Los Angeles, CA 90067-2983  
Tel: (310) 277-1391  
Fax: (310) 277-4118

Certificate of Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office Fax No. (571) 273-8300 on July 12, 2006.

William L. Androlia

Name

Signature

7/12/2006

Date